UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): December 15, 2014

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Maryland

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation) No. 001-11954 (Commission File Number) **No. 22-1657560** (IRS Employer Identification No.)

No. 13-3925979

(IRS Employer

Identification No.)

VORNADO REALTY L.P.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)
No. 001-34482

(Commission

File Number)

Delaware (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

> 888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York (Address of Principal Executive offices)

10019 (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 894-7000

Former name or former address, if changed since last report: N/A

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instructions A.2.):

- o Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- o Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Items 7.01 Regulation FD Disclosure.

Attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference is a copy of a presentation, dated December 15, 2014, to be used by management of Vornado Realty Trust ("Vornado") relating to Urban Edge Properties ("UE"), Vornado's wholly-owned subsidiary that Vornado plans to spin-off early next year, subject to the declaration of effectiveness by the Securities and Exchange Commission of UE's Registration Statement on Form 10. A copy of the presentation is available on Vornado's website.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The accompanying presentation and related discussion contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. They represent our and UE's intentions, plans, expectations and beliefs and are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties. UE's future results, financial condition and business may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. You can find many of these statements by looking for words such as "approximates," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "plans," "would," "may" or other similar expressions in this presentation. We also note the following forward-looking statements that have been included in this presentation: UE's financial projections and UE's covenant projections, in the case of UE's development and redevelopment projects, the estimated completion date, estimated project cost and cost to complete such projects; estimates of future capital expenditures, dividends to common and preferred shareholders and operating partnership distributions; uncertainties as to the timing of the spin-off and whether it will be completed, the possibility that various closing conditions to the spin-off may not be satisfied or waived, the expected tax treatment of the spinoff, the composition of the spin-off portfolio, the possibility that third-party consents required to transfer certain properties in the spin-off will not be received, the impact of the spin-off on UE, the timing of and costs associated with property improvements, financing commitments, and general competitive factors. Many of the factors that will determine the outcome of these and UE's other forward-looking statements are beyond our and UE's ability to control or predict. For further discussion of factors that could materially affect the outcome of our and UE's forward-looking statements and UE's future results and financial condition, see "Item 1A. Risk Factors" and "Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements" of UE's Registration Statement on Form 10, as amended. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on our and UE's forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of the presentation. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. Neither we nor UE undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to these forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of the presentation.

In accordance with General Instruction B.2 of Form 8-K, the information in this Item 7.01 or furnished with this Current Report on Form 8-K shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), or otherwise subject to the liabilities under that Section and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Vornado or Vornado Realty L.P., the operating partnership through which Vornado conducts its business, under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act.

1

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits.

99.1 Presentation, dated December 15, 2014.

2

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

(Registrant)

 By:
 /s/ Stephen W. Theriot

 Name:
 Stephen W. Theriot

 Title:
 Chief Financial Officer, Vornado Realty Trust

Date: December 15, 2014

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Date: December 15, 2014

VORNADO REALTY L.P. (Registrant)

(Inconstrum	
By:	VORNADO REALTY TRUST,
	Sole General Partner
By:	/s/ Stephen W. Theriot
Name:	Stephen W. Theriot
Title:	Chief Financial Officer, Vornado Realty Trust

3

Exhibit Index

99.1 Presentation, dated December 15, 2014.



ANALYST PRESENTATION

December 15, 2014

STATEMENTS

These slides and the related discussions may contain forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. They represent our intentions, plans, expectations and beliefs and are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties. Our future results, financial condition and business may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. You can find many of these statements by looking for words such as "approximates," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "plans," "would," "may" or other similar expressions in this presentation. We also note the following forward-looking statements that have been included in this presentation: our financial projections and our covenant projections, in the case of our development and redevelopment projects, the estimated completion date, estimated project cost and cost to complete such projects; estimates of future capital expenditures, dividends to common and preferred shareholders and operating partnership distributions; uncertainties as to the timing of the spin-off and whether it will be completed, the possibility that various closing conditions to the spin-off may not be satisfied or waived, the expected tax treatment of the spin-off, the composition of the spin-off portfolio, the possibility that third-party consents required to transfer certain properties in the spin-off will not be received, the impact of the spin-off on Urban Edge Properties, the timing of and costs associated with property improvements, financing commitments, and general competitive factors. Many of the factors that will determine the outcome of these and our other forward-looking statements are beyond our ability to control or predict. For further discussion of factors that could materially affect the outcome of our forward-looking statements and our future results and financial condition, see "Item 1A. Risk Factors" and "Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements" of Urban Edge Properties on Form 10, as amended. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this presentation. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. We do not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to our forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this presentation.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

This presentation also contains certain non-GAAP financial measures. For these measures, we have provided a reconciliation to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure in the Appendix to this presentation. Our presentation of non-GAAP financial measures may not be comparable to similar non-GAAP measures used by other companies.



OVERVIEW AND SPIN TRANSACTION

TRANSACTION HIGHLIGHTS

Name	Urban Edge Properties
Exchange / Ticker	NYSE / UE
Portfolio	79 shopping centers, three malls and a warehouse park (14.9 million owned SF)
Distribution Ratio	One Urban Edge common share for every two Vornado common shares
Expected Dividend for Q1 2015	$0.20\ per\ share\ (subject\ to\ Board\ of\ Trustees\ approval\ and\ declaration)\ ^{(1)}$
Pro Forma Fully Diluted Shares and OP Units Outstanding	106 million shares and OP units (approximately)
Anticipated Timing	January 2015, subject to the SEC declaring our Form 10 Registration Statement effective
Strategic Rationale	De-conglomerate two very different businesses by separating a great Northeastern shopping center business with strong growth prospects from Vornado's unique world-class Manhattan and Washington businesses. The separation will create two focused, pure play REITs.
Note: (1) Indicative run rate of \$0.80 per share for fis	ical year 2015



3

ORIGINS OF URBAN EDGE



• Liquidated retailer in the early 1980's, realizing significant value from the real estate



communities

ORIGINS OF URBAN EDGE



Bethlehem, PA 1966



INVESTMENT HIGHLIGHTS

- 1. High quality portfolio concentrated in the Washington, DC to Boston corridor with the New York Metropolitan Region representing two-thirds of total NOI ⁽¹⁾
 - Average Population / Income (3 Miles) 152,149 / \$86,062⁽²⁾
 - Average Supermarket Sales PSF \$724 (3)
 - Weighted Average Base Rent PSF \$19.37 (4)
- 2. Embedded growth opportunities from leasing and redevelopment
 - Historical same property NOI Growth of 2.1% over prior 3 years and 2.5% trailing 9 months⁽⁵⁾
 - \$175 million in identified redevelopment and repositioning opportunities
- 3. Proven management team and board members
- 4. Strong balance sheet
 - 6.1x Net Debt to TTM EBITDA (6)
 - Weighted average term of total debt of approximately 6.5 years (7)
 - Significant liquidity with approximately \$225 million of cash ⁽⁶⁾ and \$500 million of undrawn revolver capacity at the time of separation

Note:


- (1) ~66% of NOI based on YTD NOI as of September 30, 2014. Excludes third-party fee income
- (2) Source: International Strategy & Investment Group, LLC; 2012E 3-mile ring average population and 2012E 3-mile ring average household income. Note: Urban Edge Form 10 uses median household income
- (3) Based on the 12 reporting supermarkets with at least one full year of operations as of October 2014
- Based on weighted average annual rent PSF excluding warehouses, ground rent, storage and garages
 For 2011 2013 and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2014, respectively
- (6) Based on TTM pro forma EBITDA and debt as of September 30, 2014 and pro forma cash as of the separation, net of \$27 million of costs incurred in connection with the separation

(7) Data as of September 30, 2014




PORTFOLIO

PORTFOLIO QUICK FACTS



DC TO BOSTON CORRIDOR



Note:

(1) NOI is based on YTD NOI as of September 30, 2014. Excludes third-party fee income


NEW YORK METROPOLITAN REGION




(1) NOI is based on YTD NOI as of September 30, 2014. Excludes third-party fee income




PUERTO RICO



(1) NOI is based on YTD NOI as of September 30, 2014. Excludes third-party fee income




CALIFORNIA





PORTFOLIO HIGHLIGHTS




POPULATION WITHIN 3-MILE RING

Source: International Strategy & Investment Group, LLC; 2012E 3-mile ring population



PORTFOLIO HIGHLIGHTS


AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD INCOME WITHIN 3-MILE RING



Source: International Strategy & Investment Group, LLC; 3-mile ring 2012E average household income. Note: Urban Edge Form 10 uses median household income



PORTFOLIO HIGHLIGHTS



SUPERMARKET SALES PSF (1) (2)

(1) Based on reporting supermarkets including: RPT (November 2014), RPAI (November 2014), BRX (November 2014), WRI (November 2014), REG (September 2014), BFS (May 2014) and EQY (November 2014). CDR, DDR, FRT, KIM and ROIC do not disclose this statistic


(2) Urban Edge data based on the 12 reporting supermarkets with at least one full year of operations as of October 2014



PORTFOLIO HIGHLIGHTS

Strong demographic profile and high barrier-to-entry market presence drive rents

AVERAGE BASE RENT - 3Q2014 (1)




Source: Comparable data per Green Street Advisors November 2014 Strip Center Sector Update, SEC filings, and peer company investor presentations Note:

(1) Urban Edge figure represents owned square feet and excludes warehouses as well as ground rent, storage rent and garages




PORTFOLIO HIGHLIGHTS



URBAN EDGE

TENANT PROFILE

Diverse, high quality retailer base



Source: SEC filings, peer company investor presentations and Bloomberg

Note:

(1) Based on YTD annualized cash rental revenue as of September 30, 2014

(2) Represents top 25 tenants by ABR of EQY, BRX, KIM, DDR, WRI, and FRT. Other peers do not disclose detail required for comparison

(3) Peer average based on EQY, BRX, KIM and DDR. FRT and ROIC classify anchor tenants as those greater than 15,000 SF. Including FRT and ROIC, peer average would equal 52%
 (4) As of September 30, 2014



17

MANAGEMENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

MANAGEMENT TEAM

RUSTEE	AFFILIATION	NAME	ROLE		
Jeffrey Olson	Chairman and CEO, Urban Edge Properties	Jeffrey Olson	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer		
Steven Roth	Chairman and CEO, Vornado Realty Trust	Robert Minutoli	Chief Operating Officer		
Michael Gould	Former Chairman and CEO, Bloomingdale's	Matthew locco	Interim Chief Financial Officer		
Steven Grapstein	CEO, Como Holdings, USA, Inc. Chairman, Tesoro Corporation Former Chairman, Armani Exchange	Donald Casey	General Counsel		
Steven Guttman	Founder and Principal, Storage Deluxe Former Chairman and CEO, Federal Realty	Jennifer Holmes	Chief Accounting Officer		
	Director, The TJX Companies, Inc. and GNC	Aanen Olsen	Development & Construction		
Amy Lane Holdings, Inc. Former Managing Director of Global Retailing Investment Banking, Merrill Lynch		James Staveley	Property Management		
Kevin O'Shea	CFO, AvalonBay Communities	Michael Zucker	Leasing		



GROWTH STRATE	GY		
LEASING	REDEVELOPMENT	DEVELOPMENT	ACQUISITIONS
Increase occupancy Increase rents	Revitalization of existing assets Build on excess land	Retail division has delivered projects in excess of ~\$1.0 billion over the past ten years	Expand footprint by leveraging experience and relationships in core markets
Improve tenant mix Recapture below market anchor leases	Expand existing assets		Retail division has acquired ~\$1.7 billion in new properties over the past ten years
Examples:	Examples:	Recent Projects:	Target Markets:
• Gun Hill • Hackensack	• Bergen Town Center • Montehiedra	 Springfield Town Center 	 Washington, DC to Boston corridor
 Hackensack Kearny Las Catalinas Walnut Creek 	 Bruckner Boulevard East Hanover warehouses 	 Bergen Town Center Wayne Towne Center Garfield North Bergen APA 	• Los Angeles and San Francisco
2015 Goal: 2 - 3% Same Property NOI Growth 96% Occupancy Note: (1) As detailed on page 41	Annual Goal: \$30 - \$50 million Identified Pipeline: \$175 million ⁽¹⁾	Annual Goal: \$30 - \$50 million	Annual Goal: \$100 - \$200 million

STRATEGY EXECUTION

CORE COMPETENCIES

- Identification
- Acquisition
- Planning
- Budgeting
- Design
- •Pre-leasing

- Adaptation
- Construction
- •Commissioning & turnover
- Completing leasing
- Operating



LEASING Las Catalinas Mall, Puerto Rico



- · Centrally located, easily accessible mall serving the densely populated Caguas region
- Merchandising dominated by island-based retailers, many of which are struggling in the current weak
 economy



21

LEASING Las Catalinas Mall, Puerto Rico

Remerchandise with strong mainland retailers looking for 2nd or 3rd locations after Plaza Las Americas and/or Plaza Carolina



Significant representation of island-based merchants





LEASING Walnut Creek, California



- 29,000 SF Barnes & Noble lease significantly undermarket at \$45 PSF rent expires January 31, 2016
- Next to Apple Store and across Olympic Boulevard from Neiman Marcus and Broadway Plaza, which is undergoing a major expansion and renovation
- Enormous appeal to merchants looking for a prominent location in the heart of an upscale and growing shopping district experiencing significant new investment



LEASING Walnut Creek, California





LEASING Walnut Creek, California





25

REDEVELOPMENT Bruckner Plaza, Bronx, New York



• 1963 vintage center serving a densely populated and underserved trade area with easy access to major roads and mass transit; significant frontage on the Bruckner Expressway

Anchored by Kmart, Toys "R" Us, Key Food, Marshalls and Old Navy



REDEVELOPMENT Bruckner Plaza, Bronx, New York



- Renovate and remerchandise southern portion (Key Food, retail/office building and small retail building) and refurbish all site improvements
- Significant longer term expansion potential on northern portion (Kmart and Toys "R" Us) upon expiration/recapture and rezoning



27

REDEVELOPMENT East Hanover, New Jersey



- Older center on Rt. 10, a primary east-west arterial serving affluent areas, adjacent to the warehouse park; anchored by Home Depot, Dick's and Marshalls
- Retail: renovate buildings and site work to match physical appearance to customer shopper base
- Warehouses: update for users seeking space nearer to customers; future retail opportunities
- Vacant 27,000 SF retail box on Rt. 10 is a rare offering in this market (former Loehmann's)



REDEVELOPMENT East Hanover, New Jersey



URBANEDGE

29

REDEVELOPMENT Montehiedra Town Center, Puerto Rico



- Superior freeway location 5 miles south of San Juan, but outflanked by Plaza Las Americas (5 miles north) and Las Catalinas (8 miles south)
- Convert to value/retail outlet format; no competition south of San Juan; only other outlets on the island are 30 miles west and 15 miles east of the city
- Nike, Puma and Skechers open
- · 20,000 SF approved capacity on excess land




REDEVELOPMENT Montehiedra Town Center, Puerto Rico





31

DEVELOPMENT Bergen Town Center, New Jersey



• Former Bergen Mall developed by Allied Stores and opened in 1957 anchored by Stern's and Newberry's; Ohrbach's added in 1967

· Partial demolition and major expansion



DEVELOPMENT Bergen Town Center, New Jersey



- 952,000 SF anchored by Target, Century 21 and Whole Foods; Lowe's on adjacent owned property
- Junior anchors include Marshalls, Rack, Off Fifth, Bloomingdale's Outlet, Last Call Studio by Neiman Marcus, Nike, H&M, Old Navy and Ulta; REI and 24 Hour Fitness on adjacent owned property
- Leasing exceeds 99% while in-line sales exceed \$600 PSF and have increased ~20% over the past three years with strong momentum



DEVELOPMENT Bergen Town Center, New Jersey



DEVELOPMENT

Garfield, New Jersey



Former Two Guys distribution facility

• Total demolition and reconstruction, including extensive environmental and geotechnical remediation



35

DEVELOPMENT Garfield, New Jersey



• 195,000 SF anchored by Wal-Mart and Marshalls

• Approvals in-hand and site work completed for 70,000 SF of additional development



DEVELOPMENT North Bergen, New Jersey



- Former truck transfer terminal in densely populated, underserved NJ trade area just across the Hudson from NYC
- Total demolition and reconstruction, including extensive environmental and geotechnical remediation



37

DEVELOPMENT North Bergen, New Jersey



+410,000 SF anchored by Wal-Mart (203,000 SF), BJ's, Staples, PetSmart and Harbor Freight

• Strong, productive small store line-up brings leasing to 100%



DEVELOPMENT North Plainfield, New Jersey



- Excellent location with more than 3,000 linear feet of frontage on Route 22, a major east-west arterial through affluent suburbs
- Older center with vacant Kmart, Pathmark and Circuit City on leased land



```
39
```

DEVELOPMENT North Plainfield, New Jersey



- · Anchors replaced with Costco and Tile Shop
- Texas Roadhouse signed and others in negotiation
- · 20,000 SF additional capacity on underutilized land
- · Fee interest acquired



REDEVELOPMENT PIPELINE


Robust redevelopment pipeline generating strong return on capital

			INCREMENTAL (\$000's)		
PROPERTY	DESCRIPTION	SF	COST	NOI	ROC
Bruckner Plaza	Renovate and remerchandise non-anchor buildings	112,000	24,000		
Montehiedra Town Center	Convert to value/retail outlet format	139,100	17,500		
East Hanover Warehouses	Upgrade of warehouse facilities	942,000	10,000		
North Plainfield	Additional 20,000 SF	20,000	1,500		
West Babylon	Additional 1,800 SF	1,800	1,400		
Montehiedra Town Center	Additional 20,000 SF	20,000	400		
Dover	Additional 3,700 SF	3,700	100		
SUBTOTAL		1,238,600	54,900		
Bergen Town Center	Renovation and expansion		120,000		
TOTAL		1,238,600	174,900	16,900	9.7%

Note: Amounts presented above are management's estimates based on currently available information



BALANCE SHEET - LIQUIDITY AND LEVERAGE Significant liquidity and attractive cost of capital




COMMENTARY

- · Capitalized for immediate growth
- Strong balance sheet with ~\$1.3 billion of total debt outstanding and Net Debt / EBITDA⁽²⁾ of 6.1x
- · At separation, significant liquidity with approximately \$225 million of cash (3) and \$500 million of undrawn revolver capacity
- · Access to multiple sources of capital

Data as of September 30, 2014. Interest rate percentage calculated as weighted average interest rate of all debt expiring during each respective year (detail available on slide 47)
 Based on TTM pro forma EBITDA and debt as of September 30, 2014 and pro forma cash at the time of the separation
 Pro forma cash of \$225 million represents the \$252 million of cash on Form 10 balance sheet, net of the \$27 million of costs incurred in connection with the separation



BALANCE SHEET – BENCHMARKING




(2) Represents weighted average years to maturity
 (3) Represents debt maturities through 2016 as a percentage of total debt maturities

(4) Net debt to TTM EBITDA (as of September 30, 2014)




URBANEDGE

SAME PROPERTY NOI



FOR THE 9 MONTHS ENDED (\$MM),



SELECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

(\$MM)	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014	2014 ANNUALIZED	
NOI	50.6	150.2 ⁽¹⁾	200.3	
Pro Forma OPEX Adjustments	(0.7)	(2.0) ⁽²⁾	(2.6)	
Tax Expense – Puerto Rico Properties	(0.5)	(1.6) ⁽³⁾	(2.1)	
Indicative Cash NOI	49.4	146.6	195.6	
Non-cash Adjustments to NOI	2.2	6.1 (4)	8.2	
Indicative NOI Including Non-cash Adjustments	51.6	152.7	203.8	
Pro Forma Adjustment for Third Party Management Fees	0.7	2.0 (5)	2.6	
Pro Forma General and Administrative Expenses	(8.1)	(24.2) (6)	(32.3)	
Interest Expense	(14.4)	(40.8) ⁽⁶⁾	(54.4)	
Run Rate Adjustment to Interest Expense	(1.3)	(3.8)	(5.1)	
Indicative FFO with Pro Forma Adjustments	28.5	85.9	114.6	
Fully Diluted Share Count	106	106 ⁽⁸⁾	106	
Indicative FFO with Pro Forma Adjustments Per Share	\$0.27	\$0.81	\$1.08	

Note: Data on this slide, including annualized data and third quarter 2014 data, are not intended to represent, and should not be taken as, guidance as to future results or predictions as to future results. Totals may not

Note: Data on this slide, including annualized data and third quarter 2014 data, are not intended to represent, and should not be taken as, guidance as to future results or predictions as to future results. Totals may not add due to rounding
(1) Disclosed in p. 27 of the Form 10 filed on December 11, 2014. Inclusive of \$0.398MM of Management Fee Income on Interstate Properties; see p. F-34 of the Form 10. Does not include intercompany property management fee expense of \$6.6MM
(2) Pro forma adjustment includes fees pursuant to the Transition Services Agreement for information technology to be provided by Vornado to UE and rent expense pursuant to the leases by UE from Vornado of office space in New York and New Jersey. Disclosed in p. 58 of the Form 10 filed on December 11, 2014
(3) Represents income taxes on Puerto Rico properties. Disclosed in p. 58 of the Form 10 filed on December 11, 2014
(4) Includes non-cash realtal income resulting from the straight-lining of rents and amortization of acquired below market leases net of above market leases of \$7.325MM less non-cash ground rent expense of \$1.178MM. Disclosed in p. 27 of the Form 10 filed on December 11, 2014
(5) Pro forma adjustment reflects adjustments related to: (i) Uban Edge management and leasing of Vornado's Springfield Town Center and 22 retail assets which Vornado plans to sell; (ii) the management and leasing of Alexander's Inc. (32.4% owned by Vornado) non-Manhattan retail properties; and (iii) the management of Interstate Properties assets. Disclosed on p. 56 of the Form 10 filed on December 11, 2014
(3) Disclosed in p. 56 of the Form 10 filed on December 11, 2014
(4) Disclosed in p. 56 of the Form 10 filed on December 11, 2014
(5) Proforma adjustment reflects adjustment set allow to the Edge management and leasing of Alexander's Inc. (32.4% owned by Vornado) non-Manhattan retail properties; and (iii) the management of interstate Properties assets. Disclosed on p. 5



45

URBAN EDGE PROPERTIES BALANCE SHEET

(\$MM)	AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2014	ADJUSTMENTS	PRO FORMA AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2014
Real Estate, At Cost	2,007	-	2,007
Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	(457)	-	(457)
Cash and Cash Equivalents	133	119	252
Other Tangible Assets (1)	35	-	35
Capitalized Assets (2)	156	4	160
Total Assets	1,874	123	1,997
Mortgages Payable	1,292		1,292
Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses	32	27 ⁽³⁾	59
Intangible and Other Liabilities (4)	172	-	172
Total Liabilities	1,497	27	1,524
Vornado Equity	376	(376) ⁽⁵⁾	-
Shareholder's Equity	-	339 ⁽⁵⁾	339
Noncontrolling Interest in UE LP	-	134 ⁽⁵⁾	134
Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Subsidiary	-	-	-
Total Equity	377	96	473
Total Liabilities & Equity	1,874	123	1,997

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding (1) Consists of \$10MM of Restricted Cash, \$11MM of Tenant and Other Receivables, and \$14MM of Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets (2) Consists of Identified Intangible Assets of \$35MM, Deferred Leasing Costs of \$19MM, Receivable Arising From the Straight-Lining of Rents of \$89MM, Deferred Financing Costs of \$11MM, and Liquor Licenses of \$2MM

Pursuant to the Separation Agreement between Vornado and UE, this adjustment reflects UE's costs incurred in connection with the spin-off. These costs have not been reflected in the (3) Pro Forma Combined Statement of Income Consists primarily of (i) \$164MM of acquired below market leases (FAS 141) that amortize into property rentals over the related lease terms; (ii) \$5MM of straight-line rent on ground (4)

Parsuant to the separation and distribution by each of Vornado and Vornado Really LP, these adjustments reflect: (i) the issuance of common limited partnership units by Urban Edge (5)

Properties LP ("UE LP") to Vornado in exchange for seven of Vornado's retail properties with a net book basis of \$134MM; (ii) the reclassification of Vornado equity to shareholder's equity; and (iii) the execution of a \$500MM revolving credit agreement under which no amounts will be drawn and outstanding as of the date of the separation



URBAN EDGE PROPERTIES OUTSTANDING DEBT

	MATURITY	BALANCE (\$MM) AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2014	INTEREST RATE (%) AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2014	INDICATIVE ANNUALIZED INTEREST EXPENSE (\$MM) ⁽¹⁾	INTEREST TYPE
Crossed collateralized mortgage on 40 properties					
Fixed Rate	September 2020	551	4.28	23.6	Fixed
Variable Rate	September 2020	60	2.36 ⁽²) 1.4	Variable
Bergen Town Center	April 2023	300	3.56	10.7	Fixed
Las Catalinas	August 2024	130	4.43	5.8	Fixed
Montehiedra Town Center (3)	July 2016	120	6.04	7.2	Fixed
North Bergen (Tonnelle Avenue)	January 2018	75	4.59	3.4	Fixed
Forest Plaza	July 2018	17	1.45	0.2	Variable
Mount Kisco (Target)	November 2034	16	7.30	1.2	Fixed
Mount Kisco (A&P)	February 2015	12	7.20	0.9	Fixed
Englewood	October 2018	12	6.22	0.7	Fixed
Total		1,292	4.27	55.2	
Run Rate Pro Forma Adjustments	(5)			4.3	
Indicative Total Gross Interest E	Expense			59.5	

Note: Data on this slide, including annualized data and third guarter 2014 data, are not intended to represent, and should not be taken as, guidance as to future results or predictions as to future results. Urban Edge has entered into a four year unsecured revolver of \$500MM. No amount is expected to be drawn at transaction close. At current leverage levels, facility fer is 20bps and drawn interest rate is L + 115bps. This interest rate is subject to change based on the company's leverage and there is no assurance that the interest rate will remain at this level in the future. Totals may not add due to rounding Based on the September 30, 2014 balance and interest rate

Interest rate of L + 1.36% with a 1.00% LIBOR floor. Rate shown is as of September 30, 2014 Currently in discussions with the special servicer of the Montehiedra mortgage loan to restructure the terms of the loan; although these discussions are ongoing, there can be no (3) assurance as to the ultimate resolution of the matter Interest rate of L + 1.30%. Rate shown is as of September 30, 2014

(4)

(5) Includes amortization of deferred financing fees of \$3.2MM and a facility fee of \$1.0MM associated with the \$500MM Unsecured Revolver



47

APPENDIX

NOI and same property NOI are supplemental non-GAAP measures that aid in the assessment of the unlevered performance of our properties and portfolio as it relates to the total return on assets. The most directly comparable GAAP financial measure is operating income. We calculate NOI by adjusting GAAP operating income to add back depreciation and amortization expense, general and administrative expenses, real estate impairment losses and non-cash ground rent expense, and deduct non-cash rental income resulting from the straight-lining of rents and amortization of acquired below market leases net of above market leases. NOI does not include a deduction for property management fee expenses because they are eliminated in consolidation against intercompany property management fee income. Intercompany property management fees were approximately \$6.6 million and \$6.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$8.7 million, \$8.6 million and \$8.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Same property NOI is calculated as NOI for properties that were owned and operated for the entirety of the reporting periods being compared, and excludes properties that are under development/redevelopment and properties acquired or sold during the periods being compared. The properties that were under redevelopment and excluded from same property NOI are as follows: Bergen Town Center East and East Hanover warehouse park for all periods presented; and, North Plainfield, NJ, Paramus, NJ, and Garfield, NJ for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. We believe NOI and same property NOI are meaningful non-GAAP financial measures because real estate acquisitions and dispositions are evaluated based on, among other considerations, property NOI applied to market capitalization rates. We utilize these measures to make investment and capital allocation decisions and to compare the unlevered performance of our properties to our peers. NOI and same property NOI should not be considered substitutes for operating income or net income and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by others.

This presentation contains Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA"), a non-GAAP measure.

EBITDA is presented before non-controlling interests, discontinued operations, gains on sale or real estate and non-recurring items. We consider EBITDA a supplemental measure for making decisions and assessing the unlevered performance of our segments as it relates to the total return on assets as opposed to the levered return on equity. As properties are bought and sold based on a multiple of EBITDA, we utilize this measure to make investment decisions as well as to compare the performance of our assets to that of our peers. EBITDA should not be considered a substitute for net income. EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies. A description of this measure and a reconciliation to the most directly comparable GAAP measure is provided on the following page.

FFO is computed in accordance with the definition adopted by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"). NAREIT defines FFO as GAAP net income or loss adjusted to exclude net gain from sales of depreciated real estate assets, real estate impairment losses, depreciation and amortization expense from real estate assets, extraordinary items and other specified non-cash items. FFO and FFO per diluted share are non-GAAP financial measures used by management, investors and analysts to facilitate meaningful comparisons of operating performance between periods and among our peers because it excludes the effect of real estate depreciation and amortization and net gains on sales, which are based on historical costs and implicitly assume that the value of real estate diminishes predictably over time, rather than fluctuating based on existing market conditions. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities and is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash requirements and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as a performance measure or cash flows as a liquidity measure. FFO may not be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies



URBAN EDGE PROPERTIES EBITDA

Reconciliation of Net Income to EBITDA, Comparable EBITDA and Pro Forma Comparable EBITDA

(\$000's)	TTM 9/30/14	PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS	PRO FORMA TTM 9/30/14
Net Income	46,757	(1,983)	44,774
Depreciation, Amortization and Income Taxes	57,400	-	57,400
Interest and Debt Expense	54,289	-	54,289
EBITDA	158,446	(1,983)	156,463
Non-comparable Items:			
Real Estate Impairment Losses	19,000	-	19,000
Transaction Costs	4,683	(4,683)	-
EBITDA Adjusted For Comparability	182,129	(6,666)	175,463
Debt			1,292,075
Less Cash (Pro Forma)			(225,000)
Net Debt			1,067,075
Net Debt to EBITDA			6.1



49

URBAN EDGE PROPERTIES SAME PROPERTY NOI Reconciliation of Operating Income to NOI and Same Property NOI

(\$000's)	TTM 9/30/14	YTD 9/30/14	YTD 9/30/13	2013	2012	2011	2010
Operating Income	102,229	91,819	156,803	167,213	124,965	144,038	121,427
Depreciation and Amortization	56,184	40,586	38,445	54,043	52,960	50,981	49,504
General and Administrative	25,808	19,250	19,323	25,881	27,209	27,698	28,058
Real Estate Impairment Losses	19,000	-	-	19,000	6,000	-	-
Transaction Costs	4,683	4,683	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	207,904	156,338	214,571	266,137	211,134	222,717	198,989
Non-cash Rental Income	(10,145)	(7,325)	(8,635)	(11,455)	(15,920)	(14,457)	(16,653)
Non-cash Ground Rent Expense	1,561	1,176	1,456	1,841	1,686	2,212	2,663
NOI	199,320	150,189	207,392	256,523	196,900	210,472	184,999
Non-same Property Items:							
Settlement Income From Stop & Shop	-	-	(59,599)	(59,599)	-	-	-
Income Recognized Pursuant to Stop & Shop Guarantee Which Was Terminated Upon							
Settlement In February 2013	-	-	(500)	(500)	(5,917)	(5,000)	-
Reversal of Allowance for Doubtful Accounts in							
Connection With the Stop & Shop Settlement	-	-		-	-	(19,463)	-
Properties Taken Out-of-Service for							
Redevelopment	(4,211)	(3,084)	(3,114)	(7,479)	(5,823)	(4,207)	(3,121)
Other Non-same Property (Income) Expense	(222)	(13)	(665)	(874)	(867)	(1,221)	(5,494)
Subtotal	(4,433)	(3,097)	(63,878)	(68,452)	(12,607)	(29,891)	(8,615)
Same Property NOI	194,887	147,092	143,514	188,071	184,293	180,581	176,384
Same Property (%)		2.5%		2.0%	2.1%	2.4%	



IMPROVING SHOPPING CENTERS IN AND ON THE EDGE OF URBAN COMMUNITIES

