UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): May 1, 2006

VORNADO REALTY TRUST

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Maryland (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation) No. 001-11954 (Commission File Number) No. 22-1657560 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

VORNADO REALTY L.P. (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Delaware (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation) No. 000-22635 (Commission File Number) No. 13-3925979 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York (Address of Principal Executive offices)

10019 (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 894-7000 Former name or former address, if changed since last report: N/A

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instructions A.2.):

- o Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- o Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 7.01 Regulation FD Disclosure
Item 9.01 Financial Statements, Pro Forma Financial Information and Exhibits
SIGNATURE
SIGNATURE
EX-99.1: CHAIRMAN'S LETTER

Table of Contents

Item 7.01 Regulation FD Disclosure.

On May 1, 2006, Vornado Realty Trust mailed to its shareholders its Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2005. The Chairman's Letter contained within the Annual Report contains information that may be of interest to investors. A copy of the Chairman's Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit 99.1.

Item 9.01 Financial Statements, Pro Forma Financial Information and Exhibits.

(c) Exhibits.

99.1 Chairman's Letter from Vornado Realty Trust's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2005.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

VORNADO REALTY TRUST (Registrant)

By: /s/ Joseph Macnow

Name: Joseph Macnow Title: Executive Vice President

- Finance and Administration and

Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 1, 2006

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

VORNADO REALTY L.P.

(Registrant)

By: VORNADO REALTY TRUST,

Sole General Partner

By: /s/ Joseph Macnow

Name: Joseph Macnow Title: Executive Vice Pres

Executive Vice President

- Finance and Administration and

Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 1, 2006

To Our Shareholders

Vornado's **Funds From Operations** for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$757.2 million, \$5.21 per diluted share, compared to \$750.0 million, \$5.63 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2004. **Net Income** applicable to common shares for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$493.1 million, \$3.50 per diluted share, versus \$571.0 million, \$4.35 per diluted share, for the previous year. Here are the financial results by segment:

	% of 2005			
(\$ IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT SHARE DATA)	EBITDA	2005	2004	Same Store
EBITDA:				
New York Office	28%	341.0	330.7	4.3%
Washington Office	24%	292.9	303.8	(4.7)%
Total Office	52%	633.9	634.5	0.1%
Retail	17%	209.8	171.6	3.2%
Merchandise Mart	12%	149.1	134.9	4.7%
Temperature Controlled Logistics	6%	75.8	71.5	14.2%
Newkirk MLP	5%	63.6	65.9	_
Alexander's	5%	63.1	57.1	1.1%
Hotel Pennsylvania	2%	22.5	15.6	44.2%
Toys "R" Us	1%	14.9	_	
Other	_	171.2	135.2	
EBITDA before minority interest and gains on sale of real estate	100%	1,403.9	1,286.3	
Funds from Operations		757.2	750.0	
Funds from Operations per share		\$ 5.21	\$ 5.63	

This letter and this Annual Report contain forward-looking statements as such term is defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. The Company's future results, financial condition and business may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties. Many of the factors that will determine these items are beyond our ability to control or predict. For further discussion of these factors, see "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Item 1. Business-Risk Factors" in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, which is included in this document.

We use Funds from Operations Adjusted for Comparability as an earnings metric to allow for apples to apples comparison of our business by eliminating certain one-time items. One-timers are inevitable, and in each year we had some great ones. The following chart reconciles Funds from Operations to Funds from Operations Adjusted for Comparability:

(\$ IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT SHARE DATA)	 2005	 2004
Funds from Operations, Adjusted for Comparability	689.5	639.1
Funds from Operations, Adjusted for Comparability per share	\$ 4.75	\$ 4.80
Adjustments for certain items that affect comparability:		
Net gain on Sears Holdings shares	41.5	81.7
Income from Sears Canada special dividend	22.9	_
Income from mark-to-market of McDonald's derivative	17.2	_
Income from mark-to-market of GMH warrants and interest income in 2004	14.1	61.4
Alexander's stock appreciation rights compensation expense	(9.1)	(25.3)
Net Gain on sale of Alexander's 731 Lexington Avenue condominiums	30.9	
Bonuses to four executive vice presidents in connection with Alexander's	_	(6.5)
Gain on disposition of Newkirk notes receivable and of Newkirk MLP option units, net of impairment losses	(8.4)	4.6
Net gain on disposition of preferred investment in 3700 Las Vegas Boulevard	12.1	_
Net gain on disposition of Prime Group common shares	9.0	_
Write-off of perpetual preferred issuance costs and other, net	(21.5)	(8.4)
Share of Toys "R" Us negative FFO	(32.9)	_
Net gain on investment in AmeriCold and income from Vornado Operating Company, net of litigation costs	_	18.8
Minority interests' share of above adjustments	(8.1)	(15.4)
Total adjustments	 67.7	 110.9
Funds from Operations, as Reported	757.2	750.0

FFO adjusted for comparability was \$689.5 million in 2005 compared to \$639.1 million in 2004, an *increase* of \$50.4 million. However, on a per share basis adjusted FFO *declined* \$.05 per share from \$4.80 in 2004 to \$4.75 in 2005. The per share decline is almost entirely caused by dilution from the weighted average share count increasing 12.1 million shares from 133.1 in 2004 to 145.2 in 2005.

But there's more. 2005 earnings were penalized by our decision to invest in assets that have little or no immediate return. In pursuit of money making we are sometimes willing to buy assets with little, or no, current income, if we believe the payoff in 2-5 years will ring the bell. So it is with Toys "R" Us where we have \$403 million invested with no return in 2005; same with H Street where we have \$195 million invested with no recognized return; same with two New York condo conversions where we have \$156 million invested with no current return, and so on. Some commentators think value is measured by capitalizing an earnings stream, others think it is measured by calculating net asset value (NAV). The NAV approach recognizes that even a vacant building with no earnings whatsoever has a value. Either way, our non-earning or sub-earning assets will be fairly valued during the gestation period and highly valued when they reach stabilization.

As is our custom, we present the chart below which traces our 10-year record of growth, both in absolute dollars and per share amounts.

		FF	0
(\$ IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE DATA)	EBITDA	Amount	Per Share
1996	89,679	70,187	1.43
1997	154,683	72,864	1.27
1998	428,165	232,051	2.81
1999	627,269	313,990	3.37
2000	751,308	353,353	3.65
2001	817,893	394,532	3.96
2002	964,058	439,775	3.91
2003	1,051,798	518,242	4.44
2004	1,286,294	750,043	5.63
2005	1,403,888	757,219	5.21

We grow from same store increases in the assets we already own (internal growth) and from acquisitions (external growth). In real estate, 3% same store is good; 4% same store is great, and we have achieved good to great numbers year in and year out. Please see same store statistics which we publish quarterly by segment in our financials and each year in the first chart of this letter.

Our external growth has never been programmed, formulaic or linear, i.e.—we do not budget acquisition activity. Each year, we mine our deal flow for opportunities; as such, our acquisition volume is lumpy. Here is a 10-year schedule of acquisitions:

	Acqu		itions	
	Number of		Square	
(\$ IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SQUARE FEET AND NUMBER OF TRANSACTIONS)	Transactions	Cost*	Feet	
1997	11	2,038,000	22,082,000	
1998	18	2,050,200	17,028,000	
1999	14	782,600	7,897,000	
2000	10	285,800	2,474,000	
2001	2	19,200	165,000	
2002	6	1,835,400	12,346,000	
2003	9	532,980	1,370,000	
2004	17	511,790	1,351,100	
2005	31	4,682,842	8,780,000	
2006 to date	9	599,000	2,589,000	

^{*} Excludes development capital expenditures and acquisitions of marketable securities.

In 2005, Mike, $^{(1)}$ and Michelle Felman, EVP—Acquisitions, together with our operating division heads, invested a record amount in a record number of deals. And the pace is continuing into 2006. Please see the Appendix for deal-by-deal detail. The \$6 billion of seeds we have sown in the last 18 months will bear their financial fruit for years to come.

⁽¹⁾ Michael Fascitelli, Vornado's President and my partner in running Vornado.

Capital Markets

This year, Wendy Silverstein, EVP—Capital Markets, and team raised \$3.124 billion (a record) in 13 separate transactions in the secured and unsecured debt, preferred equity and common equity markets.

As an example, three New York assets —770 Broadway, 909 Third Avenue and 888 Seventh Avenue—were refinanced in separate 10-year fixed rate secured financings which aggregated \$900 million, reflecting the current value of these properties. After repayments, we realized a staggering \$500 million of net proceeds.

Financing the Toys "R" Us acquisition involved complex domestic and international financings with multiple lenders and bankers. The financing teams of all three sponsors (Bain Capital, KKR and ourselves) worked well together to complete this \$7 billion acquisition, but I must say, Mike and I are especially proud that Wendy contributed more than full measure here.

We ended 2004 with total footings, at market, of \$17.9 billion. Today, we're at \$25.8 billion—with substantial dry powder. Here in short form is the right hand side of our balance sheet, at market:

(IN THOUSANDS)		%
Debt:		
Wholly-owned:		
Floating	\$ 832,184	
Fixed	5,217,581	
	6,049,765	
Partially-owned:*		
Floating	1,554,834	
Fixed	1,196,541	
	2,751,375	
Total Debt	8,801,140	34%
Preferred shares and units	1,127,500	4%
Equity, at market—163.9 million shares at \$96.77 per share	15,860,603	62%
Total	\$25,789,243	100%

^{*} Partially-owned debt includes \$1.9 billion of Toys "R" Us debt.

Lease, Lease, Lease

The mission of our business is to create value for our shareholders by growing our asset base through the addition of carefully selected properties and by adding value through intensive and efficient management. As in past years, Mike and I are pleased to present leasing statistics for our businesses. In our business, leasing is what it's all about.

		Of	fice		Merchan	dise Mart
(SQUARE FEET IN THOUSANDS)	Total	New York	Washington	Retail	Office	Showroom
Year Ended						
2005						
Square feet leased	6,216	1,270	2,659	864	273	1,150
Occupancy rate		96.0%	91.2%	95.6%	97.0%	94.7%
2004						
Square feet leased	6,954	1,502	2,824	1,021	569	1,038
Occupancy rate		95.5%	91.5%	93.9%	96.5%	97.6%
2003						
Square feet leased	6,246	925	2,848	1,046	270	1,157
Occupancy rate		95.2%	93.9%	93.0%	92.6%	95.1%

New York Office

The bell is ringing, announcing the return of a landlord's market. Midtown vacancy rates are now single digit. (2) A handful of new-builds are finishing up and there is no new supply on the short-term horizon. Replacement cost is the big story in New York. For a theoretical new tower in mid-town (an oxymoron, since it's almost impossible to find a site in midtown), replacement cost would be well over \$800 per square foot,(3) which would translate to a triple digit full service rent.

For David Greenbaum who oversees Vornado's New York office business (where each asset is worth between \$100 million to over \$1 billion), leasing, management, staffing, lobby upgrades, etc. are day-to-day fare. He also has ultimate oversight and P & L responsibility for BMS, our 1,300 person strong cleaning and security company; PowerSpace, our shared office business; etc. David also oversees the two prominent condo conversion projects acquired this year at 220 Central Park South and 40 East 66th Street.

David, together with myself, leads our effort to develop the Farley Post Office Building, (4) which occupies the double super block between 31st and 33rd Streets from 8th to 9th Avenues, into the Moynihan Train Station. We aspire to expand this project to incorporate the adjacent super block (currently Penn Station, our Two Penn Plaza and Madison Square Garden) into a 7.0 million square foot mixed-use development—5.5 million square feet of newbuilds plus our existing 1.5 million square foot Two Penn Plaza. Vornado assets surround this project—One Penn Plaza, Two Penn Plaza, 11 Penn Plaza and Hotel Penn. Whatever happens, our 6 million square feet here will benefit enormously.

- (2) There are only four office markets in the U.S. with vacancy rates in single digits. They are Midtown New York, Washington, DC, Orange County, CA and Bellevue, WA. It is not by chance that Vornado's office business is concentrated in New York and Washington, DC, the two best office markets in the country.
- (3) A couple of us were gabbing with Eli recently, estimating that today it would cost at least \$250 million more to replicate 731 Lexington Avenue, a tower we completed less than a year ago.
- (4) Vornado and a partner, The Related Companies (developer of the Time Warner Center), have been designated the Developer of the Farley Post Office Building.

Retail

Sandeep Mathrani runs our retail business. Since Sandeep joined in 2002, we have invested \$1.5 billion (5) in our retail business. Sandeep's mantra is to grow. Each acquisition has its own raison d'etre, be it precious Manhattan street retail where we "work" under market leases, or bread and butter in-fill strip centers, or better yet, large complex fixer-uppers such as Bergen Mall, Springfield Mall, etc. These tired fixer-uppers are in triple A locations and will benefit enormously from the retenanting, modernization and renovations we plan. All of us are wildly enthusiastic about this business.

We own 1,348,000 square feet of New York street retail, with an EBITDA of almost \$100 million. This income stream commands the lowest cap rate in all of real-estate-land, certainly in the low 4's today. Last year we added to this nucleus by making what *The Wall Street Journal* reported to be "the most expensive U.S. real-estate purchase ever,(6)" \$6,647 per square foot for the retail block front on Madison Avenue from 69th to 70th Street. Tenants here are Cartier, Gucci and Chloe. Further, we acquired 100 feet of retail frontage on Madison Avenue at 66th Street, diagonally across from Armani, together with the 37-unit rental apartment building above.(7) Please see the Appendix for detail of 2005 and 2006 to date additions to our retail portfolio.

- (5) These investments include 35 individual assets or strip centers containing 2.6 million square feet; five malls containing 5 million square feet and 12 New York City retail properties containing 259,000 square feet.
- (6) The Wall Street Journal March 31, 2005.
- (7) We will convert the rental apartments to condos and make, we trust, a decent profit.

Washington Office

Mitchell Schear, President of our Washington Office business, and team (with help from Mike in his role as our chief growth officer) have been building our Washington business. Since 2003, we have invested \$1.1 billion in Washington. Our Washington Office business now contains 17.8 million square feet (8)

We have upgraded the quality of our organization, retaining the best Charles E. Smith people while adding the likes of Mitchell, Laurie, Patrick, and now, Brendan. At the same time, we have been upgrading the quality of our assets. This year we acquired the Warner Building and the Bowen Building, two of the ten best in the District of Columbia. Here, we converted Kaempfer sliver interests into 100% ownership. We also acquired a 46% co-general partner interest (19 percentage points of which was acquired from Bob Smith and Bob Kogod) in a property in Rosslyn, VA on the very shores of the Potomac with direct views of the Capitol. This asset, the best fixer-upper in town, currently contains four office buildings with an aggregate of 714,000 square feet and two apartment buildings containing 195 units.

Most important of all, Mitchell reports that we are under a full head of steam in Crystal City leasing. Mitchell, our brand builder, (9) is hard at work repositioning Crystal City, populating it with private sector tenants (more profitable to us) augmenting our traditional GSA and government contractor tenants. We are responding aggressively to the PTO move-outs and to BRAC,(10) and in the end, we will be much the better for it.

Almost 13 years ago, a dear friend, John Levin, sent me a little five and dime desk plaque engraved TROUBLE IS OPPORTUNITY, in response to a deal which was going badly (but in the end, years later, turned out okay). This little plaque, really a good luck charm, which passed through the hands of the great Larry Tisch before it got to me and then to Rob Rosen and Frank Mori and others, now sits permanently and prominently in the middle of my desk. We talk about trouble being opportunity all the time. So here's the tale of H Street:

The Tompkins and Cafritz families had been in business together for over 50 years in the Washington, DC area, sharing control of several real estate entities. They worked together and shared control every day. Last year, they started to quarrel. It seems that one partner unilaterally seized control. The ousted partner, now owned by 40 or 50 heirs, probably not having the stomach for the fight, decided to sell. Potential buyers kicked the tires but the quarrelling and the uncertainty chilled the bidding. But, these are wonderful assets just across Route 1 from our Crystal City assets, and we surely do have the stomach for it. When the price got to be right, Mike and Mitchell pulled the trigger. We now own the ousted partner's 50% interest and, as promised, our new partner sued.(11) While this situation is currently hostile and in litigation and we will fight, and fight hard, we offer our hand to Calvin Cafritz in peace.

- (8) Charles E. Smith Commercial Realty owned 13.2 million square feet, as remeasured, when we acquired it four years ago from Bob Smith and Bob Kogod (now Vornado trustees). Since then we have added 4.6 million sq. ft.
- (9) A nickname I gave Mitchell in last year's letter.
- (10) BRAC is a slow motion program. Its effect on the occupancy of our DOD tenants, if any, will average about 200,000 square feet per year in Crystal City and 100,000 square feet per year in Skyline through 2011.
- (11) Because of this litigation, access to financial information has been impeded. Accordingly, we cannot include our share of this investment's earnings in our financial statements.

Merchandise Mart

The Mart Division owns and operates important design centers in Chicago, Washington DC, New York and Los Angeles, and this year extended its franchise with the acquisition of the Boston Design Center. Chris Kennedy, President—Merchandise Mart Division, runs this business as if it were his own family's (which it was until 1998), producing first class EBITDA increases, same store increases and high, high occupancies. Here's the history:

		Occupancy Rate	
(\$ IN THOUSANDS)	EBITDA	Office	Showroom
1999	79,736	93.3%	98.1%
2000	96,419	90.2%	97.6%
2001	115,959	90.8%	95.5%
2002	115,010	92.8%	95.2%
2003	126,516	92.6%	95.1%
2004	134,930	96.5%	97.6%
2005	149,092	97.0%	96.3%*

^{*} Excludes 7 West 34th Street which is in lease-up.

The Mart Division consistently achieves mid-90's percent occupancies in Chicago (and all over, for that matter), a full 10–15 percentage points better than the market. Kudos to Chris.

Hotel Pennsylvania

The Hotel Pennsylvania is located in the very heart of our holdings in the Penn Plaza District of Manhattan. In an improving hotel market, the only hotel asset we operate again exceeded our budget, earning \$22.5 million of EBITDA in 2005. Here's the history:

	EBITDA
1999	\$21.2 million
2000	\$26.9 million
2001	\$17.0 million
2002	\$7.6 million
2003	\$4.6 million
2004	\$15.6 million
2005	\$22.5 million

We recently received an unsolicited offer for this asset, which will only get better and scarcer, of \$440 million. (12) This asset is a placeholder, sort of like a parking lot, but in this case with \$22 million of earnings. It is one of the few obvious office sites that could support 2.0 million plus square feet, it may be residential or mixed-use and will certainly benefit from our plans for the Farley Building.

AmeriCold

The AmeriCold (our now 47.6%-owned refrigerated warehouse affiliate) story keeps getting better. In February 2004, we repatriated \$135 million, our share of the proceeds of a financing. In November 2004, we re-acquired the paper clip VOO, combining the real estate and operating companies, sold a 20.7% interest to The Yucaipa Company (\$85 million of proceeds to us) and installed Yucaipa executives Tony Schnug as Chief Executive Officer and Neal Rider as Chief Operating Officer. 2005 same store financial performance improved 14.2% enabling us to earn EBITDA of \$75.8 million, \$4.3 million more than last year, even though we now own 12.4 percentage points less of this business. Our current economic investment is \$95 million; our pro rata share of debt is \$345 million.

⁽¹²⁾ We often receive unsolicited offers for various of our properties. Who knows whether this one was real or closable. In any event, the value of this asset is going up.

The Money Business

Every year we go on a spring-cleaning campaign, designed to review our assets, to plant or harvest, reinvest or disinvest, as appropriate.

From 30,000 feet, our business is actually pretty simple—major office properties and street retail concentrated in New York and Washington, retail real estate principally in the northeast, and our Merchandise Mart business catering to industry specialized tenants. Year in and year out, we add to these businesses but have done very little pruning in this bull real estate market, a very correct financial decision so far. Our ownership in Alexander's is, for us, as core as it gets. These businesses rise or fall on our core real estate skills, namely, acquisitions, capital markets, operations, development and my personal favorite—lease, lease, lease.

We also have what Mike and I call our Money Business, which is born out of our deal flow, capital capacity, real estate skills and financial skills. This segment includes our mezzanine investments, our investments in Newkirk, GMH, Toys "R" Us, Sears, McDonald's, etc. These investments have a common theme—they all have a major real estate component, and have been phenomenally successful so far and sooner or later they will be harvested.

Investees Newkirk (NYSE: NKT) and GMH (NYSE: GCT) were IPOed, giving us a measurable and liquid investment. Alexander's (NYSE: ALX) has always had a trading price, and AmeriCold, which started as a real estate investment, is now a money investment and a candidate for continued harvesting.

We have now completed the sale of our entire position in Sears Holdings and Sears Canada. Beginning in August 2004, we invested in a very undervalued Sears Roebuck with honorable intentions...to wed or at least go steady. That was not to be, and in March 2005 Sears Roebuck merged with Kmart to form Sears Holdings. Our investment in Sears Canada was distinct and born out of our

work in underwriting our original Sears investment. (13) The economic result of all this activity was a profit of \$221 million. (14)

We probably would be better off had we not sold either of these positions. As I said in this letter last year, I believe Eddie Lampert will be successful with Sears Holdings, either by turning around the retailer or by realizing on its treasure trove of assets. But, our business franchise does not include speculating on non-control minority positions in common stocks and therefore our sale of this investment was appropriate.

We have, we do and we will make measured investments in private and public entities where we see value based on real estate. We consider the identification, underwriting, and implementation of such investments to be one of our core competencies.

We own a position representing 13.3 million shares of McDonald's Corporation. We guesstimate that McDonald's derives 80% of EBITDA (some \$4 plus billion) from its real estate and brand (franchise) segments, and owns or long-term ground leases about 19,000 of its stores. Sounds like a lot of real estate to me, trading at a restaurant multiple and inefficiently structured to boot.

The report from Toys "R" Us is so far so good. We and our partners, Bain Capital and KKR, acquired Toys "R" Us in July 2005. Since then, we have recruited Jerry Storch as CEO and Clay Creasey as CFO. We have already refinanced \$1.3 billion of acquisition debt at much better rates. The Christmas selling season exceeded our expectations, as did overall 2005 financial performance. While much is left to do, we are as optimistic today as when we started. Special thanks to Rick Markee, Vice Chairman and head of the fast growing Babies "R" Us division, for his service as interim CEO.

⁽¹³⁾ With respect to Sears Canada, sure there's more value there than the offered price, but we made a fine profit here and fighting for a few more bucks is not our game. In this case, we'll leave that to others.

Our shares have been tendered and taken up, we have received our \$118 million cash and enjoy price protection through December 31, 2008.

⁽¹⁴⁾ A \$120 million special dividend, or \$.77 per share, was paid to shareholders in December 2005.

In October 2005, Newkirk became a publicly-traded company. Michael Ashner, Newkirk's Chairman and CEO, a long-time friend and a talented deal guy, has run this net-lease business from the beginning. Simplistically, our investment here, which was initiated in 1998 and grew to a max of approximately \$170 million, was, over time, more than fully repaid from the proceeds of multiple re-financings and operations. Today, our entire investment has been returned plus \$30 million, and we own listed securities with a trading value of \$186 million. Joe, rather precisely, calculates that our \$216 million profit here produced a return on investment of 21.8%.

GMH(15) hit a speed bump. Please refer to their press releases and securities filings available at www.gmhcommunities.com. We bridged this company to its November 2004 IPO. The stock, which reached a high of \$16.77, was \$11.64 at the end of first quarter 2006 and is now trading at \$12.33. Our investment here consists of two tranches—8,038,000 units and common shares at a cost basis of \$8.03 and a warrant to purchase 6,085,180 common shares at a strike price of \$8.22. Currently, our actual cash investment is \$65 million, which, to put in perspective, is \$.38 per Vornado share. Our current mark-to-market economic profit on these units and shares is \$34.6 million and our unrealized gain on the warrant is \$19.1 million.(16) The warrant expires on May 2nd and may be exercised or net settled. Our shares and units represent 11.3% of the company which would increase to 18.1% including the warrants.

- (15) GMH Communities Trust (NYSE: GCT), a student and military housing company.
- (16) We carry the units and shares owned on the equity method, which at March 31, 2006 was \$88.3 million (compared to a March 31, 2006 market value of \$93.6 million). We carry the unexercised warrant as a derivative, marked-to-market at its embedded profit of \$18.2 million or \$3.05 per share at March 31, 2006, after assumed dilution of \$877,000.

In addition, we received \$16.6 million for making a bridge loan to GMH from July 20, 2004 to November 3, 2004 which had a maximum outstanding balance of \$113.8 million.

Thoughts

I was confronted at an analyst conference about six weeks ago about rumors that we were talking to The Mills Corporation. The standard lawyers' scripted response is "We don't comment on market rumors," but I answered, "Sure, we're talking to them." That confirmation was big news, which I must say I find a little strange. Of course we're talking to them; that's our job. We talk to lots of people about lots of things; that's also our job. And by the way, everybody else in mall-land and opportunity-fund-land and even hedge-fund-land is also talking to them.

Just for fun, we were recently playing with some numbers. We do that a lot. Our New York Office segment had 2005 EBITDA of \$341 million. This number represents a 15.6% return on our original cost of all these assets. First point—on average our income from these assets has more than doubled since we acquired them. Second point—if New York cap rates are, say, 5%, we have more than tripled our money here, a mark-to-market profit of over \$4.5 billion.

A group of us (including John Goff and Ron Burkle) were reviewing comparable values for companies in the industrial sector, where it is apparently common practice to bundle merchant building profits in FFO. That got me thinking. Our practice, as executed by Chief Financial Officer Joe Macnow, is kind of the opposite. We go to great lengths to allow the reader of our financial statements to "deconstruct" our earnings. This transparency (and our multi-segment approach) makes for very thick financial disclosure, but it is worth it.

While we are on accounting—accounting is the only zero tolerance game that we play. Zero tolerance means no mistakes and no shading, ever. A business franchise and reputation built up over a lifetime can be squandered with even just one serious accounting misstep. Thanks to Joe, Ross Morrison, Matt locco and the entire Zero Tolerance Gang in Paramus.

It's a global world now. Almost every major country in the free world will soon have copycat REIT legislation—several already have. Ditto for securitized CMBS. The transfer from private to public securitized real estate took about 15 years in America. My guess is it will take about only one-third as long in non-American markets. Cross border tax treaties will be slower to come, but are even more important to create a global real estate market.

Housing seems to be slowing. Some call it a bubble; I don't know. There is too much supply in many markets and housing prices seem to have entered the sticker shock phase. But, the market for scarce income producing investment grade real estate, however, continues to thrive. As I've said for many years in this letter—scarce investment grade real estate has been re-priced and today's prices, give or take, will hold—get used to them.

There has been an epidemic of public to private transactions in real estate at premiums and in size. This seems to support the conclusion, with which we agree, that public market trading prices are cheap to private values.

And, think about this—the increase in rents which we expect is largely still in front of us.

S&P 500

In August 2005, Vornado was admitted to the S&P 500 as the eighth real estate entry. While the selection criteria are secret, it does represent a recognition of size, stability and industry position. Mike and I are honored to be a part of the club.

We had not sold equity since August 2002, four years ago (other than 2.0 million units issued in acquisitions). Between the S&P announcement and the actual inclusion date, we sold 9 million shares at \$86.75 raising \$781 million in a very well executed so-called "inclusion trade.(17)" This was the perfect opportunity to raise capital, in size, efficiently. Strangely, we took a little flack for this. Just three weeks ago, Boston Properties and Kimco were included in the S&P 500 and each executed their own version of an inclusion trade. Congratulations to both for both.

(17) Net underwriting spread was \$.65 per share or 74 basis points.

People

We do an awful lot of leasing in Washington and we welcome Brendan Owen as Mitchell's new Chief Leasing Officer. He comes to us from CBRE. We have a full, full plate of development here in New York and we welcome Tom Javits as Senior Vice President—New York Development. Tom comes to us from Boston Properties. (18) We welcome Robert Entin as Senior Vice President—CIO. Bobby is the Chairman of Integrated Business Systems, a long-time provider of property management and accounting systems for our Company. He knows us inside and out.

We have a lot of toys and babies merchandise to sell (or more accurately one-third of which to sell) and we welcome Jerry Storch as CEO of Toys "R" Us, who was last Vice Chairman of Target Corporation. And we welcome Shyam Gidumal, a seasoned executive who is our day to day monitor of our investment in Toys "R" Us.

Cliff Broser is the man—he is our man at Newkirk. He was Mike's deal captain and the mentor and monitor of this investment since inception in 1998. Now that Newkirk is a public company, he is our representative on their Board of Directors. Cliff began his career with me in 1989 and has become one of Mike's most senior deal guys. Thanks to Cliff.

Our Triple A Farm Teams are bursting with talent. In addition to the valued colleagues I have already mentioned in this letter, special thanks to Glen Weiss, Rockie Gajwani, John Birnbaum, Sherri White, Jim Creedon, Ernie Wittich, Mark Falanga, Myron Maurer, Joan Ulrich, Craig Stern, Alan Rice, and to Barry Langer, Stuart Milstein and Tom Ellis.

Mike and I thank our entire organization for their hard work and smart work and share with all the recognition that Vornado now enjoys as a member of the S&P 500.

Steven Roth Chairman and CEO

April 24, 2006

(18) I did duly apologize to Mort and Ed for poaching.

APPENDIX — 2005 TRANSACTIONS

Investment	Cost	Square Feet
NEW YORK OFFICE:		
40 E. 66 Street	\$113,000	85
220 Central Park South	137,000	150
42 Thompson Street Total New York Office	16,000 \$266,000	29 264
	\$250,500	204
WASHINGTON OFFICE: Bowen Building	\$119,000	231
H Street Corporation	247,000	2,018
Varner Building	319,000	560
Rosslyn Plaza	89,000	714
Total Washington Office	\$774,000	3,523
RETAIL:	4405.000	200
Beverly Connection	\$105,000	322
Rockville Town Center 328-850 Madison Avenue	25,000 113,000	94
L750-1780 Gun Hill Road	18,000	17 67
692 Broadway	28,000	38
761-771 Madison Avenue	45.000	9
Starwood Ceruzzi	1,000	164
South Hills Mall	25,000	674
211-217 Columbus Avenue	24,000	6
Seaman's Plaza	8,000	32
Broadway Mall	153,000	1,200
Total Retail	\$545,000	2,623
MERCHANDISE MART:		
Boston Design Center	\$ 96,000	553
Fotal Merchandise Mart	\$ 96,000	553
MEZZANINE LOANS:	\$105.000	NIA
Riley Holdco/LNR	\$135,000 17,000	NA NA
Roney Place The Sheffield	108,000	NA NA
Manhattan House	42,000	NA NA
Total Mezzanine Loans	\$302,000	107
		Square
Investment	Cost	Feet
TOYS "R" US: Toys "R" Us	\$2,409,000	NA
Mezzanine loan	150,000	NA NA
Total Toys "R" Us	\$2,559,000	INA
OTHER:		
Wasserman JV	\$ 49,000	265
Suffolk Downs	4,000	NA
/erde Group LLC	15,000	NA
sland Global Yachting	1,000	NA
Dune Capital, LP	50,000	NA
Karp Family Assoc	5,000	NA
FCG Urban Infrastr. (India) Fotal Other	17,000 \$ 141,000	1,552 1,817
	·	
Total Real Estate Acquisitions	\$4,683,000	8,780
MARKETABLE SECURITIES:	Ф. БОБ 000	NIA
McDonald's	\$ 505,000	NA NA
Sears Canada Other	144,000 75,000	NA NA
Fotal Marketable Securities	75,000 \$ 724,000	IVA
DEVELOPMENT:	\$ 124,000	
/arious locations	\$ 176,000	NA
Fotal Development	\$ 176,000	
	Ψ ±10,000	
Total Investments	\$5,583,000	

APPENDIX — 2006 TRANSACTIONS TO DATE

Investment	Cost	Square Feet
NEW YORK OFFICE:		
West 57 Street	\$ 26,000	36
Total New York Office	\$ 26,000	36
WASHINGTON OFFICE:		
1925 K Street	51,000	138
Total Washington Office	\$ 51,000	138
RETAIL:		
San Francisco (Patson Portfolio)	\$ 72,000	188
122-124 Spring Street	11,000	5
Springfield Mall	259,000	1,453
3040 M Street	37,000	42
North Bergen, NJ (Tonnelle Avenue)	23,000	441
San Jose, CA	62,000	286
Total Retail Division	\$464,000	2,415
		Square
Investment	Cost	Feet
MEZZANINE LOANS:		
Equinox	\$ 58,000	NA
Total Mezzanine Loans	\$ 58,000	
Total Real Estate Acquisitions	\$599,000	2,589
MARKETABLE SECURITIES:		
Various	\$ 47,000	NA
Total Marketable Securities	\$ 47,000	
Total Investments	\$646,000	
-19-		

Below is a reconciliation of Net Income to EBITDA before minority interest and gains on sale of real estate:

SET INMILLIONS 2005 2004 2003 2002 2001 2000 1999 1998 1997	1996 61.4 16.8 11.5 89.7 89.7 1996 61.4 61.4 11.1						
Neterest and Debt Expense 415.8 313.3 296.1 305.9 266.8 260.6 226.3 164.5 54.4 Depreciation, Amortization and Income Taxes 346.2 298.7 281.1 257.7 188.9 167.3 143.5 104.2 32.0 Cumulative effect of change in accounting principal — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	16.8 11.5 89.7 89.7 89.7 1996 61.4 61.4						
Depreciation, Amortization and Income Taxes 346.2 298.7 281.1 257.7 188.9 167.3 143.5 104.2 32.0	11.5 — 89.7 — 89.7 1996 61.4 — 61.4						
Ani	89.7 89.7 89.7 1996 61.4 61.4						
change in accounting principal — — 30.1 4.1 —	89.7 1996 61.4 —						
Principal Prin	89.7 1996 61.4 —						
EBITDA	89.7 1996 61.4 —						
Cain on sale of real estate Cain of real estate Ca	89.7 1996 61.4 —						
Minority Interest 133.9 157.2 175.7 137.5 109.9 100.4 55.0 16.2 7.3	89.7 1996 61.4 — 61.4						
EBITDA before minority interest and gains on sale of real estate	89.7 1996 61.4 — 61.4						
interest and gains on sale of real estate	1996 61.4 — 61.4						
sale of real estate 1,403.9 1,286.3 1,051.8 964.1 817.9 751.3 627.3 428.2 154.7 Below is a reconciliation of Net Income to Funds from Operations: (\$IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) 2003 2002 2001 2000 1999 1998 1997 Net Income 460.7 232.9 263.7 234.0 202.5 152.9 61.0 Preferred Share Dividends (20.8) (23.2) (36.5) (38.7) (33.4) (21.7) (15.5) Net Income applicable to common shares 439.9 209.7 227.2 195.3 169.1 131.2 45.5 Depreciation and Amortization of Real Property 208.6 195.8 119.6 97.8 82.2 58.3 22.4 Net Gains on Sale of Real Estate and insurance settlements (161.8) — (15.5) (11.0) — (9.6) — Cumulative effect of change in accounting principal — 30.1 4.1 — — — — Perferred	1996 61.4 — 61.4						
Below is a reconciliation of Net Income to Funds from Operations: (\$ IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) 2003 2002 2001 2000 1999 1998 1997 Net Income 460.7 232.9 263.7 234.0 202.5 152.9 61.0 Preferred Share Dividends (20.8) (23.2) (36.5) (38.7) (33.4) (21.7) (15.5) Net Income applicable to common shares 439.9 209.7 227.2 195.3 169.1 131.2 45.5 Depreciation and Amortization of Real Property 208.6 195.8 119.6 97.8 82.2 58.3 22.4 Net Gains on Sale of Real Estate and insurance settlements (161.8) — (15.5) (11.0) — (9.6) — Cumulative effect of change in accounting principal — 30.1 4.1 — — — — — — Partially-owned entity adjustments: Depreciation and Amortization of real property 54.8 51.9 65.6 68.8 57.1 56.8 6.4 Net gains on sale of real estate (6.7) (3.4) (6.3) — — — — — Minority interest's share of above adjustments (20.1) (50.5) (19.7) (19.2) (10.7) (4.6) (1.4) Series A Preferred Dividends 3.5 6.2 19.5 21.7 16.3 — — Funds from Operations 518.2 439.8 394.5 353.4 314.0 232.1 72.9	1996 61.4 — 61.4						
SIN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS 2003 2002 2001 2000 1999 1998 1997	61.4						
Net Income 460.7 232.9 263.7 234.0 202.5 152.9 61.0 Preferred Share Dividends (20.8) (23.2) (36.5) (38.7) (33.4) (21.7) (15.5) Net Income applicable to common shares 439.9 209.7 227.2 195.3 169.1 131.2 45.5 Depreciation and Amortization of Real Property 208.6 195.8 119.6 97.8 82.2 58.3 22.4 Net Gains on Sale of Real Estate and insurance settlements (161.8) — (15.5) (11.0) — (9.6) — Cumulative effect of change in accounting principal — 30.1 4.1 — — — — Partially-owned entity adjustments: Depreciation and Amortization of real property 54.8 51.9 65.6 68.8 57.1 56.8 6.4 Net gains on sale of real estate (6.7) (3.4) (6.3) — — — — Minority interest's share of above adjustments (20.1) (50.5) (19.7) <t< td=""><td>61.4</td></t<>	61.4						
Net Income 460.7 232.9 263.7 234.0 202.5 152.9 61.0 Preferred Share Dividends (20.8) (23.2) (36.5) (38.7) (33.4) (21.7) (15.5) Net Income applicable to common shares 439.9 209.7 227.2 195.3 169.1 131.2 45.5 Depreciation and Amortization of Real Property 208.6 195.8 119.6 97.8 82.2 58.3 22.4 Net Gains on Sale of Real Estate and insurance settlements (161.8) — (15.5) (11.0) — (9.6) — Cumulative effect of change in accounting principal — 30.1 4.1 — — — — Partially-owned entity adjustments: Depreciation and Amortization of real property 54.8 51.9 65.6 68.8 57.1 56.8 6.4 Net gains on sale of real estate (6.7) (3.4) (6.3) — — — — Minority interest's share of above adjustments (20.1) (50.5) (19.7) <t< td=""><td>61.4</td></t<>	61.4						
Preferred Share Dividends (20.8) (23.2) (36.5) (38.7) (33.4) (21.7) (15.5)	61.4						
Net Income applicable to common shares 439.9 209.7 227.2 195.3 169.1 131.2 45.5 Depreciation and Amortization of Real Property 208.6 195.8 119.6 97.8 82.2 58.3 22.4 Net Gains on Sale of Real Estate and insurance settlements (161.8) — (15.5) (11.0) — (9.6) — Cumulative effect of change in accounting principal — 30.1 4.1 — — — — Partially-owned entity adjustments: Depreciation and Amortization of real property 54.8 51.9 65.6 68.8 57.1 56.8 6.4 Net gains on sale of real estate (6.7) (3.4) (6.3) — — — — Minority interest's share of above adjustments (20.1) (50.5) (19.7) (19.2) (10.7) (4.6) (1.4) Series A Preferred Dividends 3.5 6.2 19.5 21.7 16.3 — — Funds from Operations 518.2 439.8 394.5 353							
Depreciation and Amortization of Real Property 208.6 195.8 119.6 97.8 82.2 58.3 22.4							
Property 208.6 195.8 119.6 97.8 82.2 58.3 22.4 Net Gains on Sale of Real Estate and insurance settlements (161.8) — (15.5) (11.0) — (9.6) — Cumulative effect of change in accounting principal — 30.1 4.1 — — — — — — — — Partially-owned entity adjustments: Depreciation and Amortization of real property 54.8 51.9 65.6 68.8 57.1 56.8 6.4 Net gains on sale of real estate (6.7) (3.4) (6.3) — — — — — — — — — Minority interest's share of above adjustments (20.1) (50.5) (19.7) (19.2) (10.7) (4.6) (1.4) Series A Preferred Dividends 3.5 6.2 19.5 21.7 16.3 — — — Funds from Operations 518.2 439.8 394.5 353.4 314.0 232.1 72.9	11.1 —						
Net Gains on Sale of Real Estate and insurance settlements (161.8) — (15.5) (11.0) — (9.6) — Cumulative effect of change in accounting principal — 30.1 4.1 — — — — — — — Partially-owned entity adjustments: — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — Depreciation and Amortization of real property 54.8 51.9 65.6 68.8 57.1 56.8 6.4 Net gains on sale of real estate (6.7) (3.4) (6.3) — — — — — — — — Minority interest's share of above adjustments (20.1) (50.5) (19.7) (19.2) (10.7) (4.6) (1.4) Series A Preferred Dividends 3.5 6.2 19.5 21.7 16.3 — — — Funds from Operations 518.2 439.8 394.5 353.4 314.0 232.1 72.9	_						
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principal — 30.1 4.1 —	_						
principal — 30.1 4.1 —							
Partially-owned entity adjustments: Depreciation and Amortization of real property 54.8 51.9 65.6 68.8 57.1 56.8 6.4 Net gains on sale of real estate (6.7) (3.4) (6.3) — — — — — — — — Minority interest's share of above adjustments (20.1) (50.5) (19.7) (19.2) (10.7) (4.6) (1.4) Series A Preferred Dividends 3.5 6.2 19.5 21.7 16.3 — — — Funds from Operations 518.2 439.8 394.5 353.4 314.0 232.1 72.9							
Depreciation and Amortization of real property 54.8 51.9 65.6 68.8 57.1 56.8 6.4 Net gains on sale of real estate (6.7) (3.4) (6.3) — — — — Minority interest's share of above adjustments (20.1) (50.5) (19.7) (19.2) (10.7) (4.6) (1.4) Series A Preferred Dividends 3.5 6.2 19.5 21.7 16.3 — — Funds from Operations 518.2 439.8 394.5 353.4 314.0 232.1 72.9	_						
property 54.8 51.9 65.6 68.8 57.1 56.8 6.4 Net gains on sale of real estate (6.7) (3.4) (6.3) — — — — — Minority interest's share of above adjustments (20.1) (50.5) (19.7) (19.2) (10.7) (4.6) (1.4) Series A Preferred Dividends 3.5 6.2 19.5 21.7 16.3 — — Funds from Operations 518.2 439.8 394.5 353.4 314.0 232.1 72.9							
Net gains on sale of real estate (6.7) (3.4) (6.3) — — — — — Minority interest's share of above adjustments (20.1) (50.5) (19.7) (19.2) (10.7) (4.6) (1.4) Series A Preferred Dividends 3.5 6.2 19.5 21.7 16.3 — — Funds from Operations 518.2 439.8 394.5 353.4 314.0 232.1 72.9	(2.3)						
Minority interest's share of above adjustments (20.1) (50.5) (19.7) (19.2) (10.7) (4.6) (1.4) Series A Preferred Dividends 3.5 6.2 19.5 21.7 16.3 — — Funds from Operations 518.2 439.8 394.5 353.4 314.0 232.1 72.9	(2.5)						
Series A Preferred Dividends 3.5 6.2 19.5 21.7 16.3 — — Funds from Operations 518.2 439.8 394.5 353.4 314.0 232.1 72.9							
Funds from Operations 518.2 439.8 394.5 353.4 314.0 232.1 72.9	_						
	_						
Adjustments for certain items that affect	70.2						
·							
comparability:							
Write-off of non-core investments, net of gains on the sales of non-real estate							
assets 1.7 24.4 14.7 — — — — —	_						
Minority Interest (3.1) (10.7) (1.8) — — — —	_						
Alexander's stock appreciation rights							
compensation expense 14.9 — — — — — — —	_						
Amortization of officer's employment							
arrangement — 27.5 — — — 22.9	2.0						
Funds from Operations, Adjusted for	70.0						
Comparability 531.7 481.0 407.4 353.4 314.0 232.1 95.8	72.2						
Funds from Operations per share: Total \$ 4.44 \$ 3.91 \$ 3.96 \$ 3.65 \$ 3.37 \$ 2.81 \$ 1.27	\$ 1.43						
	\$ 1.47						
Below is a reconciliation of Hotel Pennsylvania Net Income to Hotel Pennsylvania EBITDA:							
below is a reconciliation of noter remissivanta Net income to noter remissivanta EBH DA.							
(\$ IN MILLIONS) 2005 2004 2003 2002 2001 2000	1999						
Net Income (Loss) 15.6 8.8 (2.6) (1.0) 2.9 9.8	7.8						
Interest and Debt Expense — — — 1.0 6.8 10.4	7.8						
Depreciation and Amortization 6.9 6.8 7.2 7.6 7.3 6.7	5.6						
EBITDA 22.5 15.6 4.6 7.6 17.0 26.9	21.2						
Below is a reconciliation of Merchandise Mart Net Income to Merchandise Mart EBITDA:							
(# IN MILLIONIC) 2002 2004 2002	1999						
(\$ IN MILLIONS) 2002 2001 2000 Net Income 53.5 57.2 29.0							
Net Income 53.5 57.2 29.0 Interest and Debt Expense 34.5 33.4 38.6							
Depreciation and Amortization 27.0 25.4 28.8	30.4						
EBITDA 115.0 116.0 96.4	30.4 29.5						
	30.4						